(12)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

M.A. (C.)No.1144 /2007

Single Bench: **Hon'ble Shri Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh, J.**

APPELLANTS CLAIMANTS

1. Sohan Ram Son of Shri Budhram, Caste Harijan, aged about 38 years, Occupation Agriculture;
2. Bhagman Bai Wife of Shri Budhram, Caste Harijan, aged about 55 years, Occupation Housewife;
3. Sahettar Ram Son of Shri Sangal Ram, Caste Kanwar, Aged about 40 years, Occupation Agriculture;

All residents of Village Kusmusi, Police Station Bhaiyathan, Tehsil Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.)

Versus

Respondent Vinod Mehta, Son of Shri Pansai, Aged about 25 years, Resident of Village Kedarpur, Police Station Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

Appeal under Section 173 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988

Present:-
Shri A.K. Shukla with Shri O.P. Yadav, counsel for the appellants.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 30th October, 2007)

Heard on admission.

The appellants have preferred this appeal for enhancement being aggrieved by an award dated 30-06-2007 passed by the 2nd Additional Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, (F.T.C.), Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.) in Motor Accident Claim Case No.48/2002 whereby compensation of Rs.4,000/- was awarded to each appellant/claimant in an injury case.

Learned counsel for the appellants admitted that the claimants did not sustain any grievous injury or permanent disability. It was also not disputed that medical evidence was not led by the claimants. Photocopies of exihibits A-4, A-7 and A-9 i.e., M.L.C. report of the appellants/claimants were shown

(13)

by learned counsel for the appellants to this Court which reveals that only superficial injury i.e., abrasion were caused.

In the abovementioned circumstances, no ground for interference in the impugned award is made out.

In the result, the appeal being devoid of merit is dismissed at the stage of admission.

Sd/-
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh
Judge

Tumane